

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					<u></u>	
APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/710,380	(07/06/2004	Solveig Laura Haugland	46493.0002 4379		
26582	7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER		
HOLLANI 555 17TH S		•		KAVANAUGH, JOHN T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3728		
DENVER, (
•						

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/ V				
	10/710,380	HAUGLAND, SOLVEIG LAURA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the r	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		Evominor					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
·			2 1 121/4\				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-6-2004.			152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement has been withdrawn since all the claims read on the elected embodiment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4542598 (Misevich et al) in view of US 5964047 (Covatch).

Misevich teaches an article of footwear comprising middle or second layer having a front portion that is relatively rigid (board 25), a mid-portion (flexible coupling 15) located under the arch of the foot, and a rear portion that is relatively rigid (44) substantially as claimed except for the upper having an outer layer of waterproof and breathable material and an inner layer of moisture wicking insulating material and a waterproof seal. Covatch teaches a waterproof article of footwear for boots and shoes (14,16), comprising an upper having an outer layer of waterproof and breathable material (Gore-Tex layer 40) and an inner layer (inner bootie 16) formed of moisture wicking (fleeced like brushed polyester 30) and insulating material (insulating material 32), and a waterproof seal (the sole pad and the upper are continuous and therefore are

Art Unit: 3728

a waterproof seal) connecting said sole pad to said upper. The footwear of Covatch is inherently capable of collapsing. It would have been obvious to provide the footwear of Misevich with an upper having an outer and inner layer and a waterproof seal, as taught by Covatch, to provide footwear that is waterproof to keep the foot dry.

Conclusion

- 3. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- -- "A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the <u>claims</u>, the specification and the drawings.
- 4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 3728

(FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556.

Ted Kavapaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK June 29, 2005